

Panel-related Research Papers Reference List

Prepared by David Gleicher, Ipek Bilgen, and David Dutwin

References

- Antoun, Christopher. "Who Are the Internet Users, Mobile Internet Users, and Mobile-Mostly Internet Users? Demographic Differences across Internet-Use Subgroups in the U.S." In *Mobile Research Methods: Opportunities and Challenges of Mobile Research Methodologies*, 99–117. Ubiquity Press, 2015.
- American Association of Public Opinion Researchers (AAPOR). Task Force Report on Online Panels. 2010.
- Allum, N., Conrad, F., & Wenz, A. (2018). Consequences of mid-stream mode switching in a panel survey. *Survey Research Methods*, 12(1), 43–58.
- Amaya A., Hatley N., and Lau, A., (June 9, 2021) *Measuring the risks of panel conditioning in survey research*. Pew Research Center. <https://www.pewresearch.org/methods/2021/06/09/measuring-the-risks-of-panel-conditioning-in-survey-research/>
- Arzheimer, K., & Klein, M. (2001). Research note - The effect of material incentives on return rate, panel attrition and sample composition of a mail panel survey. *International Journal of Public Opinion Research*, 11. 10.1093/ijpor/11.4.368.
- Baim, J., Galin, M., Frankel, M. R., Becker, R., & Agresti, J. (2009). *Sample surveys based on internet panels: 8 years of learning*. New York, NY: Mediamark.
- Baker, R., Brick, J. M., Bates, N. A., Battaglia, M. B., Couper, M. P., Dever, J. A., Gile, K. J., & Tourangeau, R. (2013). *Report of the AAPOR task force on non-probability sampling*. AAPOR. Available from https://aapor.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/11/NPS_TF_Report_Final_7_revised_FNL_6_22_13-2.pdf.
- Baker, R. & Downes-LeGuin, T. (2007). Separating the wheat from the chaff: Ensuring data quality in internet panel samples." in *The Challenges of a Changing Word; Proceedings of the Fifth International Conference of the Association of Survey Computing*. Berkeley, UK: ASC.
- Bartels L. (1999). Panel effects in the American national election studies. *Political Analysis*, 8(1), 1–20.
- Bartels, L. M. (2006). Three virtues of panel data for the analysis of campaign effects. In H. E. Brady & R. Johnston (Eds.), *Capturing Campaign Effects* (pp. 78-112). Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan Press.
- Belliveau, J., & Yakovenko, I. (2022). Evaluating and improving the quality of survey data from panel and crowd-sourced samples: A practical guide for psychological research. *Experimental and Clinical Psychopharmacology*, 30(4), 400–408. <https://doi-org.proxy.uchicago.edu/10.1037/pha0000564.supp> (Supplemental)
- Bertoni, N., Kennedy, C. (2020, June 11-12). *Evolution of the American Trends Panel* [Conference presentation]. American Association for Public Opinion Research (AAPOR) Conference, Virtual.
- Bertoni, N. (2021, May 11-14) *Using IVR to increase response rates for non-internet households in the American Trends Panel* [Conference presentation]. American Association for Public Opinion Research (AAPOR) Conference, Virtual.
- Bertoni, N. (2022, March 4). *Evaluating data quality in online panels with a focus on individual respondents*. Current Innovations in Probability-based Household Internet Panel Research Conference, Virtual.
- Bethlehem, J. & Stoop, I. (2007). "Online panels – A theft of a paradigm?" in *The Challenges of a Changing Word; Proceedings of the Fifth International Conference of the Association of Survey Computing*. Berkeley, UK: ASC.

- Biemer, P., Harris, K, Burke, B., Liao, D., & Halpern, C. (2022). Transitioning a panel survey from in-person to predominantly web data collection: Results and lessons learned. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series A (Statistics in Society)*, 185(3), 798–821. <https://doi-org.proxy.uchicago.edu/10.1111/rssa.12750>
- Bilgen, I. Dennis, J. M., and Ganesh N. (2018) *Nonresponse follow-up impact on amerispeak panel sample composition and representativeness* [White paper]. Amerispeak - NORC at the University of Chicago. Online access: https://amerispeak.norc.org/content/dam/amerispeak/research/pdf/Bilgen_etal_WhitePaper1_NRFU_SampleComposition.pdf
- Bilgen I., Dennis J. M., Ganesh N. (2019). *The undercounted: Measuring the impact of nonresponse follow-up on research data* [White paper]. AmeriSpeak - NORC at the University of Chicago. Online access: https://amerispeak.norc.org/content/dam/amerispeak/research/pdf/AmeriSpeak_WhitePaper2_NRFUImpactonOutcomeMeasures_APRIL2019.pdf
- Bilgen, I., Dutwin, D., Maitra, P., Paddock, S., Dennis, J. M. (2021, May 11-14). *Examination of panelists' reported satisfaction, response, and retention over time in a multi-purpose probability sample panel*. American Association for Public Opinion Research (AAPOR) Conference, Virtual.
- Bilgen, I., Liebert, L., Xia, K., et al. (2020, February 26-27). *Examination of recruitment mailing design decisions for a probability-based research panel* [Conference presentation]. Current Innovations in Probability-based Household Internet Panel Research (CIPHER) Conference, Washington DC, United States.
- Bilgen, I., Dutwin D., Singh, R., Hendarwan E. (2022, March 3-4). *Peekaboo! The impact of displaying cash via window envelope during mail contact when recruiting to a probability-based panel* [Conference presentation]. Current Innovations in Probability-based Household Internet Panel Research (CIPHER) Conference, Online.
- Bilgen, I., Dennis, J. M., Dutwin D., and Lerner J. (2022, October 25-27). *The importance of nonresponse follow-up in probability panels* [Conference presentation]. Federal Committee on Statistical Methodology (FCSM) Research and Policy Conference, Washington DC, United States.
- Bilgen, I., Dutwin D., Singh, R., Hendarwan E. (2023, March 9-10) *Examination of impact of using personalized solicitation on response rates and composition during mail contact when recruiting to a probability-based panel* [Conference presentation]. Current Innovations in Probability-based Household Internet Panel Research (CIPHER) Conference, Washington DC, United States.
- Binswanger J., Schunk D., Toepoel V. (2013). Panel conditioning in difficult attitudinal questions. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 77, 783–797.
- Blom, A.G., Bosnjak, M., Cornilleau, A., Cousteaux, A.-S., Das, M., Douhou, S., & Krieger, U. (2016). A comparison of four probability-based online and mixed-mode panels in Europe. *Social Science Computer Review*, 34(1), 8–25. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0894439315574825>
- Blom, A.G., Cornesse, C., Felderer, B., Fikel, M., Krieger, U. (2019, May 16-17). *Push-to-web recruitment of a probability-based online panel: Experimental evidence* [Conference presentation]. American Association for Public Opinion Research (AAPOR) Conference, Toronto, ON, Canada.
- Blom, A.G., Cornesse, C., Friedel, S., Krieger, U., Fikel, M., Rettig, T., Wenz, A., Juhl, S., Lehrer, R., Möhring, K., Naumann, E., Reifenscheid, M. (2020). *High-Frequency and High-Quality Survey Data Collection: The Mannheim Corona Study*. *Survey Research Methods*, 14(2), <https://doi.org/10.18148/srm/2020.v14i2.7735>.
- Blom, A.G., Herzing, J.M.E., Cornesse, C., Sakshaug, J.W., Krieger, U., Bossert, D. (2017). Does the Recruitment of Offline Households Increase the Sample Representativeness of Probability-Based Online Panels? Evidence from the German Internet Panel. *Social Science Computer Review*, 35(4), 498 - 520, <https://doi.org/10.1177/0894439316651584>.
- Boyle, J.M., Freeman, G. & Mulvany, L. (2005, November 14-16) *Internet panel samples: A weighted comparison of two national taxpayer surveys* [Conference presentation]. Federal Committee on Statistical Methodology Research Conference.
- Brudieggan, E., Wetzels, M., de Ruyter, K., & Schillewaert, N. (2011). Individual differences in motivation to participate in online panels: The effect on response rate and response quality perceptions. *International Journal of Market Research*, 53. 10.2501/IJMR-53-3-369-390.
- Brüggen, E., Van Den Brakel, J., & Krosnick, J. (2016). *Establishing the accuracy of online panels for survey research*. Statistics Netherlands. <https://www.cbs.nl/-/media/pdf/2016/15/2016-dp04-establishing-the-accuracy-of-online-panels-for-survey-research.pdf>

- Burn, M., & Thomas, J. (2008). *Do we really need proper research any more? The importance and impact of quality standards for online access panels* [White paper]. ICM. London, UK: ICM Research.
- Cernat, A., Sakshaug, J., Blom, A., Cornesse, C. (2020, June 11-12). *Measurement error in online panels: A comparison study* [Conference presentation]. American Association for Public Opinion Research (AAPOR) Conference, Virtual.
- Callegaro, M., & Disogra, C., (2008). Computing response metrics for online panels. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 72(5), 1008-32.
- Cantor, D. (2008). A review and summary of studies on panel conditioning. In Burlington, S.M. (Eds.), *Handbook of Longitudinal Research: Design, Measurement, and Analysis* (123-138), MA: Academic Press.
- Castiglioni, L., Pforr, K., & Krieger, U. (2008). The Effect of incentives on response rates and panel attrition: Results of a controlled experiment. *Survey Research Methods*, 2, 151-158. [10.18148/srm/2008.v2i3.599](https://doi.org/10.18148/srm/2008.v2i3.599).
- Chatt, C., & Dennis, J. M. (2003). Data collection mode effects controlling for sample origins in a panel survey: Telephone versus internet [Conference presentation]. Annual Meeting of the Midwest Chapter of the American Association for Public Opinion Research, Chicago, IL.
- Chadi, A. (2019). Dissatisfied with life or with being interviewed? Happiness and the motivation to participate in a survey. *Social Choice and Welfare*, 53. [10.1007/s00355-019-01195-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00355-019-01195-5).
- Chan, L., El-Haddad, N., Freeman, B., O'Hara, B. J., Woodland, L., & Harris-Roxas, B. (2021). A case study of an sms text message community panel survey and its potential for use during the COVID-19 pandemic. *JMIR Formative Research*, 5(11), 1–7. <https://doi.org.proxy.uchicago.edu/10.2196/28929>
- Chen, Q., Gelman, A., Tracy, M., Norris, F., & Galea, S. (2015). Incorporating the sampling design in weighting adjustments for panel attrition. *Statistics in medicine*, 34. [10.1002/sim.6618](https://doi.org/10.1002/sim.6618).
- Clinton, J.D. (2001). *Panel bias from attrition and conditioning: a case study of the Knowledge Networks Panel*. Stanford, CA: Department of Political Science Technical Report, Stanford University.
- Coen, T., Lorch, J. & Piekarski, L. (2005). *The effects of survey frequency on panelists' responses*. Worldwide Panel Research: Developments and Progress. Amsterdam: ESOMAR.
- Comly, P. (2005). *Understanding the online panelist*. Worldwide Panel Research: Developments and Progress. Amsterdam: ESOMAR.
- Corder, L.S. & Horvitz, D.G. (1989). Panel effects in the National Medical Care Utilization and Expenditure Survey. In D. Kasprzyk, G. Duncan, G. Kalton, & M. P. Singh (Eds.), *Panel Surveys*. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
- Cornesse, Carina (2020). The Utility of Auxiliary Data for Survey Response Modeling: Evidence from the German Internet Panel. *Survey Methods: Insights from the Field*, <https://doi.org/10.13094/SMIF-2020-00008>.
- Cornesse, Carina, Blom, A. G. (2020). Response Quality in Nonprobability and Probability-Based Online Panels. *Sociological Methods and Research*, <https://doi.org/10.1177/0049124120914940>.
- Cornesse, Carina, Bosnjak, M. (2018). Is There an Association Between Survey Characteristics and Representativeness? A Meta-Analysis. *Survey Research Methods*, 12(1), 1-13, <https://doi.org/10.18148/srm/2018.v12i1.7205>.
- Cornesse, C., Blom, A.G., Dutwin, D., Krosnick, J. A., De Leeuw, E. D., Legleye, S., Pasek, J., Pennay, D., Phillips, B., Sakshaug, J. W., Struminskaya, B., & Wenz, A. (2020). A review of conceptual approaches and empirical evidence on probability and nonprobability sample survey research. *Journal of Survey Statistics and Methodology*, 8(1), 4–36.
- Cornesse, Carina, Felderer, B., Fikel, M., Krieger, U., Blom, A.G., (2021). Recruiting a Probability-Based Online Panel via Postal Mail: Experimental Evidence. *Social Science Computer Review*, <https://doi.org/10.1177/08944393211006059>.
- Cornesse, Carina, Krieger, U., Sohnius, M., Fikel, M., Friedel, S., Rettig, T., Wenz, A., Juhl, S., Lehrer R., Möhring, K., Naumann, E., Reifenscheid, M., Blom, A.G. (2021). From German Internet Panel to Mannheim Corona Study: Adaptable Probability-Based Online Panel Infrastructures during the Pandemic. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series A (Statistics in Society)*, <https://doi.org/10.1111/rssa.12749>.
- Cornesse, Carina, Ocanto, M.G., Fikel, M., Friedel, S., Krieger, U., Rettig, T., Blom, A.G. (2022). Measurement Instruments for Fast and Frequent Data Collection during the Early Phase of COVID-19 in Germany: Reflections on the Mannheim Corona Study. *Measurement Instruments for the Social Sciences*, 4(1), 1-7, <https://doi.org/10.1186/s42409-022-00030-5>.
- Cornesse, Carina, Sohnius, M., Blom, A.G., Ocanto, M.G., Rettig, T., Ungefucht, M. (forthcoming). Experimental Evidence on Panel Conditioning Effects when Increasing the Surveying Frequency in a Probability-Based Online Panel. *Survey Research Methods*.

- Cornesse, C., & Schaurer, I. (2021). The long-term impact of different offline population inclusion strategies in probability-based online panels: Evidence from the German Internet Panel and the GESIS Panel. *Social Science Computer Review*, 39. 089443932098413. 10.1177/0894439320984131.
- Craig, B.M., Hays, R.D., Pickard, A.S., Cella, D., Revicki, D.A., & Reeve, B.B. (2013). Comparison of US panel vendors for online surveys. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 15(11).
- Dennis, J. M. (2001). Are internet panels creating professional respondents? A study of panel effects. *Marketing Research*, 13(2), 484-488.
- Dennis, J. M. (2018, May 16-19). *Panel based probability alternatives for sampling racial and ethnic minorities* [Conference presentation]. American Association for Public Opinion Research (AAPOR) Conference, Denver, CO, United States.
- Dennis, J. M., Bilgen, I., Dutwin, D. (2022, May 11-13). *How does AmeriSpeak panel recruit, engage, and retain diverse and hard-to-recruit segments of the U.S. population?* [Conference presentation]. American Association for Public Opinion Research (AAPOR) Conference, Chicago, IL, United States.
- Dennis, J. M., Osborn, L., and Semans, K. (2009). *Comparison study: Early adopter attitudes and online behavior in probability and non-probability web panels*. Knowledge Networks.
- Dillman, D. A., Smyth, J.D., & Christian, L.M. (2014). *Internet, Mail, and Mixed-Mode Surveys: The Tailored Design Method (4th Ed.)*, Hoboken, NJ: Wiley.
- DiSogra, C., Cobb, C., Chan, E., & Dennis, J. M. (2011). Calibrating non-probability internet samples with probability samples using early adopter characteristics. *JSM Proceedings, Section on Survey Research Methods Section*, 4501-4515. Alexandria, VA: American Statistical Association.
- Downes-Le Guin, T., Meckling, J., & Baker, R. (2006). Great results from ambiguous sources: Cleaning internet panel data." *Panel Research 2006: ESOMAR World Research Conference*. Amsterdam: ESOMAR.
- Downes-Le Guin, T. (2005). *Satisficing Behavior in Online Panels* [Conference presentation]. Marketing Research Association Annual Conference and Symposium, Chicago, IL, United States.
- Dutwin, D. and Buskirk, T. D. (2017). Apples to oranges or gala versus golden delicious? comparing data quality of nonprobability internet samples to low response rate probability samples. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 81(S1), 213-239.
- Elliot, M. R. (2009). Combining data from probability and non-probability samples using pseudo-weights. *Survey Practice*, 2(6). <https://doi.org/10.29115/SP-2009-0025>.
- Erens, B., Burkill, S., Copas, A., Couper, M., & Conrad, F. (2013). How well do volunteer web panel surveys measure sensitive behaviours in the general population, and can they be improved? A comparison with the third British National Survey of Sexual Attitudes & Lifestyles (natsal-3). *J Epidemiol Community Health*, 67, A17-A18.
- Estrada, M., Woodcock, A., & Schultz, P. (2014). Tailored panel management: A theory-based approach to building and maintaining participant commitment to a longitudinal study. *Evaluation review*, 38. 10.1177/0193841X14524956.
- Fahimi, M. (2020, June 11-12). *Recruitment-level nonresponse analysis for online panels* [Conference presentation]. American Association for Public Opinion Research (AAPOR) Conference, Virtual.
- Fine, B., Con, M. & Casdas, D. (2006). Comparing people who belong to multiple versus single panels. Panel Research 2006: ESOMAR World Research Conference. Amsterdam: ESOMAR.
- Fitzgerald, J., Gottschalk, P., & Moffitt, R. (1998). An analysis of sample attrition in panel data: The Michigan Panel Study of income dynamics." *Journal of Human Resources*, 33, 251-299. 10.2307/146433.
- Friedel, S., Felderer, B., Krieger, U., Cornesse, C., Blom, A.G. (2022). The Early Bird Catches the Worm! Setting a Deadline for Online Panel Recruitment Incentives. *Social Science Computer Review*, <https://doi.org/10.1177/08944393221096970>.
- Greszki, R., Meyer, M., & Schoen, H. (2014). The impact of speeding on data quality in nonprobability and freshly recruited probability-based online surveys. In M. Callegaro, R. Baker, J. Bethlehem, A. Göritz, J. A. Krosnick, & P. Lavrakas (Eds.), *Online panel research: A data quality perspective*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Gummer, T. & Daikeler, J. (2018). A note on how prior survey experience with self-administered panel surveys affects attrition in different modes. *Social Science Computer Review*, 38. 089443931881698. 10.1177/0894439318816986.
- Gummer, T. & Roßmann, J. (2018). The effects of propensity score weighting on attrition biases in attitudinal, behavioral, and socio-demographic variables in a short-term web-based panel survey. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*, 22, 1-15. 10.1080/13645579.2018.1496052.

- Hafsteinn, E., Sakshaug, J.W., Cernat, A., Cornesse, C., Blom, A.G. (2022). Measurement Equivalence in Probability and Nonprobability Online Panels. *International Journal of Market Research*, <https://doi.org/10.1177/14707853221085206>.
- Halpern-Manners, A., & Warren, J. (2012). Panel conditioning in longitudinal studies: Evidence from labor force Items in the Current Population Survey. *Demography*, 49. 10.1007/s13524-012-0124-x.
- Halpern-Manners A., Warren J. R., Torche F. (2014). Panel conditioning in a longitudinal study of illicit behaviors. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 78, 565–590.
- Halpern-Manners, A., Warren, J. R., & Torche, F. (2017). Panel conditioning in the General Social Survey. *Sociological Methods & Research*, 46(1), 103–124.
- Herzing, J., & Blom, A. (2018). The influence of a person's digital affinity on unit nonresponse and attrition in an online panel. *Social Science Computer Review*. 37. 089443931877475. 10.1177/0894439318774758.
- Hill, D. & Willis, R. (2001). Reducing panel attrition: A search for effective policy instruments. *Journal of Human Resources*, 36, 416-438. 10.2307/3069625.
- Höhne, J.K., Cornesse, C., Schlosser, S., Couper, M., Blom, A.G. (2020). Looking Up Answers to Political Knowledge Questions in Web Surveys. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 84(4), 986 - 999, <https://doi.org/10.1093/poq/nfaa049>.
- Hoogendoorn, A. W. & Daalman, J. (2009). Nonresponse in the recruitment of an internet panel based on probability sampling. *Survey Research Methods*, 3, 59-72.
- Hoonhout, P. & Ridder, G. (2017). Nonignorable attrition in multi-period panels with refreshment samples. *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics*, 37. 10.1080/07350015.2017.1345744.
- Jäckle, A. & Lynn, P. (2008). Respondent incentives in a multi-mode panel survey: cumulative effects on non-response and bias. *Survey Methodology*, 34.
- International Organization for Standardization, (2009). *ISO 26362:2009 Access panels in market, opinion, and social research- Vocabulary and service requirements*. Geneva.
- Kalton G., Kasprzyk D., McMillen D. B. (1989). Nonsampling errors in panel surveys. In D. Kasprzyk, G. Duncan, G. Kalton, & M. P. Singh (Eds.), *Panel Surveys*. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
- Kennedy, C., Bertoni, N., Hatley, N., Keeter, S., Lau, A., Mercer, A. (2021, May 11-14). *Using a (relatively) high response rate ABS survey to calibrate online panels on attitudinal outcomes* [Conference presentation]. American Association for Public Opinion Research (AAPOR) Conference, Virtual.
- Klein, J. D., Thomas, R. K., & Sutter, E. J. (2007). "Self-reported smoking in online surveys: Prevalence estimate validity and item format effects." *Medical Care*, 45, 691-695.
- Kleinert, C., Christoph, B. & Ruland, M. (2021). Experimental evidence on immediate and long-term consequences of test-induced respondent burden for panel attrition. *Sociological Methods & Research*, 50, 1552–1583. 10.1177/0049124119826145.
- Kocar, S., Biddle, N. (2019, May 16-19). *Probability-based online panel research – do we have to mix modes to get more accurate results* [Conference presentation]. American Association for Public Opinion Research (AAPOR) Conference, Toronto, ON, Canada.
- Kühne, S. & Kroh, M. (2021). Interviewer effects in panel surveys. In Lynn, P. (Ed.), *Advances in Longitudinal Survey Methodology* (pp. 302-336). 10.1002/9781119376965.ch13.
- Krosnick, J. A. (1999). Survey research. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 50, 537-567.
- Krosnick, J. A., Nie, N., & Rivers, D. (2005). *Web survey methodologies: A comparison of survey* [Conference presentation]. American Association for Public Opinion Research (AAPOR) Conference, Miami Beach, FL, United States.
- Langer, G. (2020, June 11-12). *Probability-based online panels: A practitioner's perspective* [Conference presentation]. American Association for Public Opinion Research (AAPOR) Conference, Virtual.
- Ladini, R. (2022). Assessing general attentiveness to online panel surveys: The use of instructional manipulation checks. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology: Theory & Practice* 25(2), 233–46. doi:10.1080/13645579.2021.1877948.
- Lavrakas, P. J., Kaczmirek, L., Myers, P., Phillips, B., Dove, C., & Pennay, D. (2018, May 16-19). *An experiment to reduce noncompliance in an online probability-based panel: The challenges of dozer, sleeper, comatose, and backout panelists* [Conference presentation]. American Association for Public Opinion Research (AAPOR) Conference, Denver, CO, United States.

- Lavrakas, P.J., Pennay, D., Neiger, D. & Phillips, B. (2022). comparing probability-based surveys and nonprobability online panel surveys in Australia: A total survey error perspective. *Survey Research Methods*, 16, 241-266. 10.18148/srm/2022.v16i2.7907.
- Lee, E., Hu, M. & Toh, R. (2004). Respondent non-cooperation in surveys and diaries: An analysis of item non-response and panel attrition. *International Journal of Market Research*, 46, 311-326+386. 10.1177/147078530404600306.
- Lee, S. (2004). *Statistical estimation methods in volunteer panel web surveys* [Unpublished doctoral dissertation]. Joint Program in Survey Methodology, University of Maryland, USA.
- Lee, S. (2006). Propensity score adjustment as a weighting scheme for volunteer panel web surveys. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 22(2), 329-349.
- Lee, S. & Valliant, R. (2009). Estimation for volunteer panel web surveys using propensity score adjustment and calibration adjustment. *Sociological Methods and Research*, 37, 319-343.
- Lehnen, R. & Koch, G. (1974). Analyzing panel data with uncontrolled attrition. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 38. 10.1086/268133.
- Leenheer, J. & Scherpenzeel, Annette. (2013). Does it pay off to include non-internet households in an internet panel? *International Journal of Internet Science*, 8, 17-29.
- Lehdonvirta, V., Oksanen, A., Räsänen, P., & Blank, G. (2021). Social media, web, and panel surveys: Using non - probability samples in social and policy research. *Policy & Internet*, 13(1), 134–155. <https://doi-org.proxy.uchicago.edu/10.1002/poi3.238>
- Lepkowski, J.M. (1989). The treatment of wave nonresponse in panel surveys. In D. Kasprzyk, G. Duncan, G. Kalton, & M. P. Singh (Eds.), *Panel Surveys*. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
- Liebert, L., Bilgen, I., Dutwin, D., Hendarwan, E., Singh, R., & Dennis, J. M. (2022, May 11-13) *Examining the use of appended emails to the sample frame during recruitment to a probability-based panel* [Conference presentation]. American Association for Public Opinion Research (AAPOR) Conference, Chicago, IL, United States.
- Lillard, L. & Panis, C. (1998). Panel attrition from the Panel Study of Income Dynamics: Household income, marital status, and mortality. *Journal of Human Resources*, 33, 437-457. 10.2307/146436.
- Lindhjem, H., and Stale, N. (2008). Internet CV surveys – a cheap, fast way to get large samples of biased values? *Munich Personal RePEc Archive Paper*, 11471. <http://mpa.ub.uni-muenchen.de/11471>.
- Lipps, O. (2021). *Panel surveys: advantages and disadvantages compared with repeated cross-sectional surveys*. In FORS Guide No. 14, Version 1.0. 10.24449/FG-2021-00014.
- Lipps, O., & Voorpostel, M. (2022). Do web and telephone produce the same number of changes and events in a panel survey? *MDA - Methoden, Daten, Analysen*, 16(1), 33–50. <https://doi-org.proxy.uchicago.edu/10.12758/mda.2021.09>
- Loosveldt, G. (2002). Utilitarian individualism and panel nonresponse. *International Journal for Quality in Health Care*, 14, 428-438. 10.1093/ijpor/14.4.428.
- Loosveldt, G. & Sonck, N. (2008). An evaluation of the weighting procedures for an online access panel survey. *Survey Research Methods*, 2, 93-105.
- Lugtig, P., Das, M., & Scherpenzeel, A. (2014). Nonresponse and attrition in a probability-based online panel for the general population. In M. Callegaro, R. Baker, J. Bethlehem, A. Göritz, J. A. Krosnick, & P. Lavrakas (Eds.), *Online panel research: A data quality perspective* (pp. 135-153). John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. 10.1002/9781118763520.ch6.
- Lugtig, P. (2014). Panel attrition: Separating stayers, fast attriters, gradual attriters, and lurkers. *Sociological Methods & Research*, 43. 10.1177/0049124113520305.
- Lynn, P., Jackle, A., Jenkins, S. P., & Sala, E. (2012). The Impact of questioning method on measurement error in panel survey measures of benefit receipt: Evidence from a validation study. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series A (Statistics in Society)*, 175(1), 289–308.
- MacInnis, B., Krosnick, J. A., Ho, A. S., & Cho, M.-J. (2018). The accuracy of measurements with probability and nonprobability survey samples: replication and extension. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 82(4), 707–744.
- Mercer, A., Lau, A., & Kennedy, C. (2018). *For weighting online opt-in samples, what matters most?* Pew Research Center Methods. Available from <https://www.pewresearch.org/methods/2018/01/26/for-weighting-online-opt-in-samples-what-matters-most/>.
- Michaels, S., Pineau, V., Reimer, B., Koff, R., Jwo, S., & Dennis, J. M. (2019, May 16-19). *Comparing two RDS approaches to extend the reach of a probability-based panel* [Conference presentation]. American Association for Public Opinion Research (AAPOR) Conference, Toronto, ON, Canada.

- Miller, J. (2008). *Burke Panel Quality R and D Summary*. Cincinnati: Burke, Inc.
- Miller, Jeff. (2006). Online Marketing Research. In R. Grover, & M. Vriens (Eds.), *The Handbook of Marketing Research. Uses, Abuses and Future Advances* (pp. 110-131). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Nancarrow, C., & Cartwright, T. (2007). Online access panels and tracking research: the conditioning issue. *International Journal of Market Research*, 49(5).
- Nukulki, P., Hadfield, J., Subias, S, and Lewis, E. (2007). *An investigation of panel conditioning with attitudes toward U.S foreign policy* [Conference presentation]. American Association for Public Opinion Research (AAPOR) Conference, Anaheim, CA, United States.
- Olson, K., & Brick, J. M. (2015). Methodological considerations for a new household panel survey. *Journal of Economic and Social Measurement*, 40(1-4), 427-447.
- Olson, K. & Witt, L. (2011). Are we keeping the people who used to stay? Changes in correlates of panel survey attrition over time. *Social Science Research*, 40. 1037-1050. 10.1016/j.ssresearch.2011.03.001.
- Ossenbruggen, R., van Vonk, T., & Willems, P. (2006). *Results of Dutch Online Panel Comparison Study (NOPVO)* [Conference presentation]. "Online Panels, Goed Bekeken" Open Meeting, Utrecht, the Netherlands. www.nopvo.nl.
- Phillips, B., Lethborg, A., Neiger, D., Day, K., Herz, D. (2022, May 11-13). *Voices of Australia: Design, results and lessons learned from an attempt to establish an online panel of first and second generation Australians* [Conference presentation]. American Association for Public Opinion Research (AAPOR) Conference, Chicago, IL, United States.
- Phillips, B., Dove, C., A., Neiger, D., Myers, P. (2021, May 11-14). *Recruitment and expansion of an Australian probability-based online panel* [Conference presentation]. American Association for Public Opinion Research (AAPOR) Conference, Virtual.
- Pennay, D. W., Neiger, D., Lavrakas, P. J., & Borg, K. (2018). The online panels benchmarking study: a total survey error comparison of findings from probability-based surveys and nonprobability online panel surveys in Australia. (2nd ed.) The Australian National University. <http://csmr.cass.anu.edu.au/research/publications/methods-research-papers>
- Pew Research Center for the People and the Press. (2009). <http://www.pewInternet.org/static-pages/trend-data/whos-online.aspx>.
- Piekarski, L., Galin, M., Baim, J., Frankel, M., Augemberg, K. & Prince, S. (2008). *Internet Access Panels and Public Opinion and Attitude Estimates* [Conference poster presentation]. American Association for Public Opinion Research (AAPOR) Conference, New Orleans LA, United States.
- Pineau, V., Bilgen, I., Dutwin D. & Vemuri, K. (2022, May 11-13) *Panel Effects Exists? Results from a Year 2 Follow-up Experiment to Assess Panel Conditioning Effects in AmeriSpeak® Panel Surveys* [Conference presentation]. American Association for Public Opinion Research (AAPOR) Conference, Chicago, IL, United States.
- Pineau, V. & Slotwiner, D. (2003). *Probability samples vs. volunteer respondents in internet research: Defining potential effects on data and decision-making in marketing applications* [White paper]. Knowledge Networks.
- Poynter, R. & Comley, P. (2003). *Beyond Online Panels. Proceedings of the ESOMAR Technovate Conference*. Amsterdam: ESOMAR.
- Revilla, M., Cousteaux, A., Legleye, S., & de Pedraza, P. (2016) what is the gain in a probability-based online panel of providing internet access to sampling units who previously had no access? *Social Science Computer Review* 34(4). 479-496. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0894439315590206>.
- Richter, D., Körtner, J., & Lüdtke, D. (2014). Personality has minor effects on panel attrition. *Journal of Research in Personality*. 10.1016/j.jrp.2014.08.001.
- Rookey, B. D., S. Hanway, and D. A. Dillman. (2008). Does a probability-based household panel benefit from assignment to postal response as an alternative to internet-only? *Public Opinion Quarterly* 72(5), 962-84. <https://doi.org/10.1093/poq/nfn061>.
- Roßmann, J. & Gummer, T. (2015). Using paradata to predict and correct for panel attrition. *Social Science Computer Review*, 34. 10.1177/0894439315587258.
- Ryzin, Gregg G. (2008). Validity of an on-line panel approach to citizen surveys. *Public Performance and Management Review*, 32, 236-262.
- Saris, Wilem E. (1998). Ten Years of Interviewing without Interviewers: the Telepanel. In M. Couper, R. P. Baker, J. Bethlehem, C. Z. F. Clark, J. Martin, W. L. Nicholls, & J. O'Reilly (Eds.), *Computer Assisted Survey Information Collection* (pp. 409-429). New York: Wiley.
- Sayles, H. & Arens, Z. (2007). *A study of panel member attrition in the Gallup Panel* [Conference presentation]. American Association for Public Opinion Research (AAPOR) Conference, Anaheim, CA.

- Scherpenzeel, A. C. (2011). How representative are online panels? Problems of coverage and selection and possible solutions. In M. Das, P. Ester, & L. Kaczmirek (Eds.), *Social and behavioral research and the internet: Advances in Applied Methods and Research Strategies* (pp. 105–132). Routledge.
- Sakshaug, J., & Hülle, S., Schmucker, A., & Liebig, S. (2019). Panel survey recruitment with or without interviewers? Implications for nonresponse, panel consent, and total recruitment bias. *Journal of Survey Statistics and Methodology*, 8. 10.1093/jssam/smz012.
- Sakshaug, J. W., Wisniewski, A., Ruiz, D. A. P., & Blom, A. G. (2019). Supplementing small probability samples with nonprobability samples: A Bayesian approach. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 35(3), 653–681.
- Sakshaug, J. (2022). Reducing nonresponse and data linkage consent bias in large-scale panel surveys. *Forum for Health Economics and Policy*. 10.1515/fhep-2021-0060.
- Satherley, N., Milojev, P., Greaves, L., Huang, Y., Osborne, D., Bulbulia, J., & Sibley, C. (2015). Demographic and psychological predictors of panel attrition: Evidence from the New Zealand Attitudes and Values Study. *PLoS ONE*, 10. 10.1371/journal.pone.0121950.
- Schillewaert, N., & Meulemeester, P. (2005). Comparing response distributions of offline and online data collection methods. *International Journal of Market Research*, 47, 163-178.
- Schlackman, W. (1984) A discussion of the use of sensitivity panels in market research. *Journal of the Market Research Society*, 26, 191 - 208.
- Silberstein, A. R. and Jacobs, C. A. (1989). Symptoms of repeated interview effects in the Consumer Expenditure Interview Survey,” In D. Kasprzyk, G. Duncan, G. Kalton, & M. P. Singh (Eds.), *Panel Surveys*. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
- Smith, R. & Brown, H. (2006). Panel and data quality: Comparing metrics and assessing claims. Panel Research 2006: ESOMAR World Research Conference. Amsterdam: ESOMAR.
- Smith, Tom W. (2001). Are representative internet surveys possible? Proceedings of Statistics Canada.
- Sparrow, Nick. (2006). Developing reliable online polls. *International Journal of market Research*, 48, 659-680.
- Sparrow, N., & Curtice, J. (2004). Measuring the attitudes of the general public via internet polls: An evaluation. *International Journal of Market Research*, 46, 23-44.
- Struminskaya, B. (2016). Respondent conditioning in online panel surveys: Results of two field experiments. *Social Science Computer Review*, 34(1), 95–115.
- Struminskaya, B., Toepoel, V., Lugtig, P., Schouten., Haan, M., Luiten, A. (2018, May 16-19). *Willingness to collect smartphone sensor data in a Dutch probability-based online panel of the general population* [Conference presentation]. American Association for Public Opinion Research (AAPOR) Conference, Denver, CO, United States.
- Sturgis, P., Allum, N. & Brunton-Smith, I. (2008) Attitudes Over Time: The Psychology of Panel Conditioning. In P. Lynn (Ed.), *Methodology of Longitudinal Surveys* (pp. 113-126). John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. 10.1002/9780470743874
- Tach, L., & Cornwell, B. (2015). social networks and social capital: New directions for a household panel survey. *Journal of Economic and Social Measurement*, 40(1–4), 275–307.
- Terhanian, G., Smith, R., Bremer, J., & Thomas, R. K. (2001). Exploiting analytical advances: Minimizing the biases associated with internet-based surveys of non-random samples. *ARF/ESOMAR: Worldwide Online Measurement*, 248, 247-272.
- Toepoel, V., Das, M., & van Soest, A. (2008). Effects of design in web surveys: Comparing trained and fresh respondents. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 72, 985-1007.
- Toepoel, V., Das, M., van Soest, A. (2009). Relating question type to panel conditioning: Comparing trained and fresh respondents. *Survey Research Methods*, 3, 73–80.
- Tortora, R. (2009). “Attrition in Consumer Panels.” In Peter Lynn (ed) *Methodology of Longitudinal Surveys*. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. 10.1002/9780470743874.ch13.
- Tourangeau, R., Groves, R. M., Kennedy, C., & Yan, T. (2009). The presentation of a web survey, nonresponse and measurement error among members of web panel. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 25, 299-321.
- Tsuboi, S., Yoshida, H., Ae, R., Kojo, T., Nakamura, Y., & Kitamura, K. (2015). Selection bias of internet panel surveys: A comparison with a paper-based survey and national governmental statistics in Japan. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Public Health*, 27(2), NP2390–NP2399.
- Turakhia, C., Berta, K., Su, J., Schmidt, J., & Best, J. (2019, May 16-19). *Response patterns in probability based web panel* [Conference presentation]. American Association for Public Opinion Research (AAPOR) Conference, Toronto, ON, Canada.
- Twyman, J. (2008). Getting it right: YouGov and online survey research in Britain. *Journal of Elections, Public Opinion, and Parties*, 18, 343-354.

- Vanspronsen, B., Ingold-Smith, M., & Waterman, C. (2021, May 11-14). *Use of email "flair" to encourage study participation among parents of a nationally representative sample of students* [Conference presentation]. American Association for Public Opinion Research (AAPOR) Conference, Virtual.
- Ventura, I., Bautista, R., & Hendarwan, E. (2019, May 16-19). *A follow-up experiment in panel recruitment for Spanish-speaking populations: The Amerispeak case study* [Conference presentation]. American Association for Public Opinion Research (AAPOR) Conference, Toronto, ON, Canada.
- Vonk, T. W. E., Ossenbruggen, R., & Willems, P. (2006). The effects of panel recruitment and management on research results. ESOMAR Panel Research 2006.
- Walker, R., & Pettit, R. (2009). ARF foundations of quality: Results preview. New York: The Advertising Research Foundation.
- Walker, R., Pettit, R., & Rubinson, J. (2009). The foundations of quality study executive summary 1: Overlap, duplication, and multi panel membership. New York: The Advertising Research Foundation.
- Warren, J. R., & Halpern-Manners, A. (2012). Panel conditioning in longitudinal social science surveys. *Sociological Methods & Research*, 41(4), 491–534.
- Waterton, J. & Lievesley, D. (1989). Evidence of conditioning effects in the British Social Attitudes Panel. In D. Kasprzyk, G. Duncan, G. Kalton, & M. P. Singh (Eds.), *Panel Surveys*. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
- Weijters, B., Schillewaert, N., & Geuens, M. (2008). Assessing response styles across modes of data collection. *Academy of Marketing Science*, 36, 409-422.
- West, B. T., Little, R. J., Andridge, R. R., Boonstra, P. S., Ware, E. B., Pandit, A., & Alvarado-Leiton, F. (2021). Assessing selection bias in regression coefficients estimated from nonprobability samples with applications to genetics and demographic surveys. *The Annals of Applied Statistics*, 15(3), 1556–1581.
- Yan T., Datta R., Hepburn P. (2011, May 12-15). *Conditioning effects in panel participation* [Conference presentation]. American Association for Public Opinion Research (AAPOR) Conference, Phoenix, AZ, United States.
- Yeager, D. S., Krosnick, J.A., Chang, L., Javitz, H.S., Levindusky, M.S., Simpser, A., & Wang, R. (2009). Comparing the accuracy of RDD telephone surveys and internet surveys conducted with probability and nonprobability samples. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 75(4), 709-747.

ABOUT NORC

NORC at the University of Chicago conducts research and analysis that decision-makers trust. As a nonpartisan research organization and a pioneer in measuring and understanding the world, we have studied almost every aspect of the human experience and every major news event for more than eight decades. Today, we partner with government, corporate, and nonprofit clients around the world to provide the objectivity and expertise necessary to inform the critical decisions facing society.